

## Observers use $h_0$ !!!

- Not amplitude of  $h(t)$  — which detector? sky position? etc.
- Not anything called  $h_c$  —  $h \cdot \hat{n}$  or other versions.
- $h_0$  (Janowski, Królak, & Schutz 1998)  
 $h_0$  is amplitude of tensor

•  $h(t) = F_+ h_+(t) + F_x h_x(t) = (\text{GW tensor}) \text{ contract } (\text{detector tensor})$

↑ detector response    ↑ det. beam patterns    ↑ polarizations

$= h_0 \times$  a mess of trig at two frequencies  
split by  $\frac{1}{2}$  day — guess why?

- Puts all-sky results on equal footing

• Simple  $\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{1}{10} \omega^2 r^2 \dot{h}_0^2$

- Detectable  $h_0$ ?

Think  $\rho^2 = \int dt \frac{h(t)^2}{S_h} = h_0^2 \times \frac{T_{\text{coh}}}{S_h} \times \text{trig mess}$   
↑ constant!

Thus sensitivity or min. detectable  $h_0$  goes as

$$h_0 > \mathcal{Q} \sqrt{\frac{S_h}{T}} \quad \text{where } \mathcal{Q} \approx 11 \text{ \& up (exercise)}$$

Lower  $\beta$  better!

## Matched filtering requires multiples

- JKS defined  $h_0$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ -statistics:  
basically  $2F = h_0 \sqrt{T/S_n}$
- Sum of 4 matched filters
- Like looking for  $\cos(\omega t + \phi)$ :
  - could search over  $\phi$  for fixed  $\omega$
  - but instead make 2 filters:  $\cos \omega t$ ,  $\sin \omega t$
  - add in quadrature  $\rightarrow \chi^2$  w/ 2 d.o.f. (Gaussian noise)
- Here 4 d.o.f. (from 2 pieces)  $\chi^2$
- (Pulsar searches also use heterodyning w/ Bayesian processing of output, sensitivity is SAME.)
- This is "known pulsar search" - known sky position & Doppler, known  $f$ ,  $\dot{f}$ , ... in fact full timing solution, any glitches etc.

## Semi-coherent methods rule

- Want to search years of data coherently (M.F. or equivalent)? — use every CPU on planet

- Einstein @ Home — google it! try it!  
currently running > 1600 Tflops  
has 2-3 day coherence time

- Cas A type searches have < 100 days (drop rest)

→ • How do they do it?

- chop into shorter pieces: ~100/yr for ECH
- integrate each piece coherently
- add power of each piece incoherently

• Matched filtering intuition:  $h^2 = (h_1 + h_2)^2$   
incoherent loses cross terms as payment for CPU cost

$$\rho \propto \sqrt{T_{\text{coh}}} \times N_{\text{procs}}^{1/4}$$

CPU cost can go as  $T_{\text{coh}}^4$  or  $T_{\text{coh}}^7$  or...

(Also PowerFlux, StackSlide, Hough transform...)